

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ddress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,314	10/19/2001	Jason T. Griffin	555255012227	7213
75	90 10/06/2003	EXAMINER		
David B. Coch		OSORIO, RICARDO		
Jones, Day, Rea	ivis & Pogue	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
901 Lakeside A	venue	2673		
Cleveland, OH	44114		DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/038,314	GRIFFIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		RICARDO L OSORIO	2673			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a represent the statutory minimum of thirty fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 C	Octobor 2001				
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> on of Claims	Ex раπе Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 6-8 is/are objected to.	•				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)[] 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)[_] 7	he proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.			
40)□ 7	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	•			
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•				
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language prov	visional application has bee	en received.			
ے رو ا Attachment	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestions)	o priority under 35 U.S.C. §	9 120 and/or 121.			
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
,		Other:	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/038,314

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 2, lines 2 and 3, "a", and "b", should be instead --c-- and --d--. Letters a and b were already used in claim 1 for listing elements. Claim 2 is listing further elements. Appropriate correction is required.

In claim 6, a grammatical error is found in line 12, after input; the word --and-- should be added since the next listed element is the last.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McLoone et al (US 2002/0158844).

Regarding claim 1, McLoone teaches of a thumbwheel input device (Fig. 3, reference character 10. This device can be accessed with the thumb) characterized by:

a wheel (Figs 3, reference character 40) having a first axis of rotation whereby a first input is generated by rotation of the wheel about the first axis (page 3, paragraph 36, lines 6-12); and, a

Art Unit: 2673

holder (Fig. 17, reference character 258) having a second axis of rotation (page 6, paragraph 52, lines 4-9) and a portion thereon to receive the wheel (see Fig. 17, reference character 258, and page 6, paragraph 52, lines 4-6. Note that the shaft 258 is holding the wheel) whereby a second input is generated by rotation of the holder about the second axis (page 6, paragraph 52, lines 4-13).

Regarding claim 2, further, McLoone teaches of a first input detection means for detecting input about the first axis (page 3, paragraph 36, lines 6-12); and a second input detection means for detecting input about the second axis (page 6, paragraph 52, lines 9-13).

Regarding claim 3, McLoone teaches of a portion of the wheel being partially protruding outside a housing enclosing the thumbwheel input device so as to permit the receiving of the first and second inputs (page 3, paragraph 30, lines 11-14).

Regarding claim 4, McLoone teaches that the first input detection means is a rotating encoder switch (pages 3-4, paragraph 36, lines 11-22. Note that the blades of the encoder wheel periodically obstruct the light beam when the wheel is rotated. This corresponds to a switch).

Regarding claim 5, McLoone teaches that the second input detection means is a tactile switch (Fig. 8, reference character 72, and page 4, paragraph 40, lines 11-13).

Application/Control Number: 10/038,314

Art Unit: 2673

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6-8 are allowed, once the minor informality in claim 6, pointed out above, is corrected.

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims 6-8 are allowable since certain key features of the claimed invention are not taught or fairly suggested by the prior art. In claim 6, a holder having a second axis of rotation that contains the wheel, the printed circuit board, and rotating encoder switch, which is oriented on an incline. The closest prior art McLoone et al (US 2002/0158844) teaches of a thumbwheel having a wheel having a first axis of rotation and a holder having a second axis of rotation (see above), but, either singularly or in combination with other prior art, fails to anticipate or render the above underlined limitations obvious.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee.

Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricardo L. Osorio whose telephone number is (703) 305-2248. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu from 7:00 AM-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached at 305-4938.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/038,314

Art Unit: 2673

Page 5

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Ricardo L. Osorio

Examiner

Art Unit: 2673

RLO

September 30, 2003